## UMaine College Composition Portfolio Assessment Rubric (version 5.0)

| Writer Name:  | Section Number:   |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| A Final Portfolio exhibits the composing practices the ac   | ademic writer has developed during the term. It contains  |  |  |  |
| • Two revised / redeveloped academic essays • One critical  | reflection essay • Appropriate reference page(s)  |  |  |  |
| they must independently agree that it demonstrates conventi-<br>reflective awareness. These readers will comment on the por-  | rtfolio is read by at least two ENG 101 instructors other than the writer's instructor; demonstrates conventions of academic discourse, critical interpretation, and vill comment on the portfolio's demonstration of each of these traits, outlined below. ck of the form if necessary, readers will make a holistic decision. |  |  |  |
| The portfolio should demonstrate conventions of academ  | nic discourse:  |  |  |  |
| with strategies like pursuing lines of reasoning, substantiating paraphrasing, synthesizing, and summarizing. Further, they inquiries, adequately and consistently citing them in-text and adequately define important names and terms in service of the should be made apparent through lines of inquiry and estable | should make documentable claims that support these d including appropriate reference page(s). The writer should heir purposes. The portfolio essays' controlling purposes   |  |  |  |
| How would the reader describe the portfolio's d   | emonstration of academic discourse conventions?   |  |  |  |
| Further, the portfolio should demonstrate practices of cr   | itical interpretation:  |  |  |  |
| assumptions of source texts; identifying, evaluating, and res context for understanding another; synthesizing across texts texts' use of assertion and evidence; and applying rhetorical analysis.  | in ways that support controlling purposes; examining course   |  |  |  |
|   | emonstration of critical interpretation of texts?   |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |
|   | A   |  |  |  |
| critical reflection should demonstrate a reflective award   | eness of the negotiation of academic discourse conventions:   |  |  |  |
| develop a critical analysis of their composing practices. The situating their work in conversation with course concepts, or produced by colleagues); by explaining and accounting for t and evaluating their language practices in relation to a partic   | their own composing practices and decisions; and by analyzing cular community of language users. Additionally, the writer car is among the composing decisions evident in the portfolio and   |  |  |  |
| How would the reader describe the portfo  | olio's demonstration of reflective awareness?   |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |

Holistically, does the portfolio sufficiently demonstrate the above traits and thus pass review?

|                                      | <br>Yes | No |
|--------------------------------------|---------|----|
| Adopted 12 August 2018 Reader Number |         |    |