

## UMaine Student Symposium Project Evaluation Rubric

<b>Component &amp; Criteria</b>	<b>w</b>	<b>Not provided 1 points</b>	<b>Novice 2 point</b>	<b>Proficient 3 points</b>	<b>Advanced 4 points</b>
<b>Topic &amp; Purpose</b>	2	The topic & purpose were not obvious	Author describes main idea & purpose of the research/project	...& indicates why the research/project is important	... & places the research/project in a larger topical context by providing relevant citation to the literature
<b>Method</b>	3	Method of inquiry was not described	Author describes what they did	...& the nature of the sources of the collected data & methods of collection	... & the author convinces the audience that the methodology employed was appropriate for addressing the research/project question
<b>Results</b>	1	Not clear what was found	Author describes what they learned	... & provides quantitative outcomes for the main results	... & relates the results to the research/project question
<b>Conclusions</b>	2	No conclusions provided	Author describes the cause and effect relationship of the problem/issue	... & the conclusions that they draw from the research or project	... & how this work will contribute to the field
<b>Presentation</b>	3	Lack of any presentation techniques; e.g. small font, wordy poster, graphics, looks at the poster not the audience, uses monotone voice, poorly organized poster or exhibit	The presenter uses few presentation techniques, but still has room for improvements	The presenter uses some presentation techniques, but still has room for improvements	... & the presenter effectively uses inflections of voice (i.e. not monotone), gestures, eye contacts, and graphics to enhance the presentation
<b>Depth of Knowledge</b>	3	Does not demonstrate adequate understanding of the topic	Moderately understands the topic & objectives	Demonstrates substance & depth; shows mastery of material	... & ability to answer questions satisfactorily
<b>Overall Rating</b>	2	Lack of substance & depth combined with poor presentation	Either lack of substance & depth or poor presentation	Demonstrates deep understanding of the topic & presents effectively	... & engages the audience and provides a clear motivation & draws a roadmap for future

\* Use discipline specific criteria when judging presenters with this rubric.

\*\* Column 'w' is used to weight different categories.