Visualizing Cybersecurity Events
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Abstract—The old adage “a picture is worth a 1,000 words” is relevant to cybersecurity because professionals must deal with large amounts of data in a very short period. It is also relevant to cybersecurity educators who must convey the complexity of cybersecurity events to students and to members of the general public who might have little or no background in cybersecurity. Fortunately, there are many fine tools now available for visualization and there will be more such tools in the future. This paper discusses some of the tools that are available and highlights some work that deserves to be better known by cybersecurity educators.
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1. Introduction

In 1983 Edward Tufte created a stir in the area of information display by publishing his book The Visual Display of Quantitative Information [1]. The book went through seventeen printings before a second edition came out [2]. Tufte’s book sparked a lot of interest in graphic design and the visual display of information. Since then there have been quite a few books published in the area. Some examples include books by William Cleveland [3], [4], [5], and [6]. While computers have improved tremendously over the past several decades, humans have stayed pretty much the same, so it is important to follow well-established design principles when designing effective visualizations for people.

Cleveland [3, pp. 4-15] and [4, pp. 4-22] show some examples where inadequate visualizations of data helped exacerbate problems and where clever visualizations led to the discovery of new scientific effects. These examples are of value and will help most people concerned with visualization.

We use the term cybersecurity event to describe any event that has implications for the cybersecurity of an individual or organization. Examples of events are the installation of a rootkit, placing malware on a system, scanning a system and even an all-out denial of service attack (DoS). Some events give little indication that they are happening and detecting them might involve the cyber equivalent of finding a needle in the haystack. Other events, like a massive distributed denial of service attack are obvious to the people involved and might involve a massive number of rapidly changing IP addresses and massive numbers of packets.

2. Static and Dynamic Images

It would appear that for visualizing cybersecurity events, dynamic images (including video) would be preferable to static images. Static images, however, have several advantages over dynamic images.

1) They can be included on ordinary paper and made available in many formats.
2) They are often easier to study and absorb than a dynamic images.
3) They are easier to produce.
4) We have many tools available for annotating static images.
5) They are less resource intensive and less expensive to produce.

Well-designed static images can tell a lot about a dynamic event. The graphic drawn by Charled Minard showing the terrible fate of Napoleon’s army when it invaded Russia is shown in Figure 1. Carefully studying Figure 1 can give even the casual student a lot of information about the Russian campaign. Edward Tufte [1, p. 40] has a high regard for this graphic and states that “it may well be the best statistical graphic ever drawn.”

Drawing something like Figure 1 requires a great deal of design skill. Fortunately, many ideas are relatively straightforward and can be presented effectively with much simpler graphics. Figure 2 is a graphic that we used in [7] to highlight the frequency of social engineering attacks on supercomputing clusters. We used a graphic such as this one for each of the questions on our survey. We found this to be effective for communicating the results of our survey. Graphics such as Figure 2 are relatively quick and easy to generate. Of course, the ability to make videos easily extends our ability to capture dynamic events.

Not all information that one might wish to convey is numerical in nature. Some important cybersecurity threats such as viruses, worms and trojans are primarily behavioral in nature and cannot be easily represented using numerical techniques. The concept of a trojan is derived from the well-known story of the Trojan Horse, which is some 3,000 years old. To this day, it continues to inspire stories and paintings, some of which have been used to illustrate security related concepts, e.g., Figure 3 which once was displayed on the website www.container-it.com.

When people adapt classical ideas to modern security concerns, they often get some of the details wrong. For example, in Figure 3 the Greeks launch their attack during
Fig. 1: A Static Image that Represents a Dynamic Event

Fig. 2: The Likelihood of Insider Threats

3. Netstat

Netstat is a standard network information gathering tool that runs on all major computing platforms. Figure 6 shows a standard NetStat display. This display carries a lot of information for people who know how to read it. In [11] we discussed how to augment the NetStat display and produce variations that are easier for novices to understand. These versions might also help experienced users use NetStat more
Similar in style are two programs available for Windows machines called TCPview and Process Explorer. Screenshots of these programs can be seen in Figures 8 and 9. Both can be used to make valuable points about cybersecurity events.

4. Wireshark

Wireshark is a free protocol analyzer available from wireshark.org. It runs on all major computer platforms and is widely used by cybersecurity professionals. Simply running it, as illustrated in Figure 10, provides a user with a sense of how much traffic is seen by even a single computer. For

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Active Connections</th>
<th>Foreign Address</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TCP 10.0.0.114:1177</td>
<td>STUDYSTORE\microsoft-ds</td>
<td>ESTABLISHED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCP 10.0.0.114:1269</td>
<td>v-client-1b:https</td>
<td>CLOSE_WAIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCP 10.0.0.114:1399</td>
<td>eeu2-107-20-249-77:https</td>
<td>CLOSE_WAIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCP 10.0.0.114:6500</td>
<td>ec2-50-18-181-105:https</td>
<td>ESTABLISHED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCP 10.0.0.114:61199</td>
<td>sjo-not13:https</td>
<td>ESTABLISHED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCP 10.0.0.114:61280</td>
<td>v-d-la:https</td>
<td>CLOSE_WAIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCP 10.0.0.114:64201</td>
<td>vb-lin-1101:https</td>
<td>ESTABLISHED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCP 10.0.0.114:64213</td>
<td>qa-lin-2f4:https</td>
<td>ESTABLISHED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCP 10.0.0.114:64215</td>
<td>lpad1528-in-122:https</td>
<td>ESTABLISHED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCP 10.0.0.114:64422</td>
<td>lpad1528-in-114:https</td>
<td>ESTABLISHED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCP 10.0.0.114:64423</td>
<td>lpad1528-in-114:https</td>
<td>ESTABLISHED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCP 127.0.0.111030</td>
<td>Newton\1\1504</td>
<td>ESTABLISHED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCP 127.0.0.111243</td>
<td>Newton\1\7015</td>
<td>ESTABLISHED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCP 127.0.0.113530</td>
<td>Newton\1\243</td>
<td>ESTABLISHED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCP 127.0.0.1127015</td>
<td>Newton\1\243</td>
<td>ESTABLISHED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCP 127.0.0.1144225</td>
<td>Newton\1\4425</td>
<td>ESTABLISHED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCP 127.0.0.1144226</td>
<td>Newton\1\4425</td>
<td>ESTABLISHED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
most effectiveness, a sequence of Wireshark screenshots can provide a compelling story of network activity.

5. NMap

NMap is a free security scanner available from nmap.org. Like Wireshark, NMap runs on all major computer platforms and is widely used by cybersecurity professionals. A basic display is shown in Figure 11. One of the nice features of NMap is its ability to produce a useful picture of a network’s topology. A sample picture is shown in Figure 12. Figure 13 shows some of the memorable icons that NMap uses to describe the security rating of various systems and also icons that it uses to represent different operating systems. For maximum effectiveness a variety of NMap images can be combined to tell the story of a cybersecurity event.

6. The Radar Page

The “Radar Page” can be found at www.securitywizardry.com/radar.htm. It is shown in Figure 14. This page is designed for viewing in real time since many of the panels scroll. Nevertheless, static screenshots of this page are of great value in visualizing cybersecurity events. This page is so highly regarded that the Pentagon used it as a backdrop when briefing President George W. Bush on cybersecurity (Figure 15).

7. Honeypots

Honeypots are widely used to glean information about cyberevents. They are especially useful when organized
into a distributed network that can collect data over a wide region. An interesting project of this sort can be found at www.honeynet.org. They have a web page at http://map.honeynet.org/ that displays what they call the “Honey Map.” This map, which can be found at map.honeynet.org, provides a real-time indication of activity on the World Wide Web. A sample display is shown in Figure 16. There are periods when the map shows little activity. Of course, the map illustrates the activities on the World Wide Web that involve the honeypots operated by the project. This map is best viewed dynamically, although static screenshots also convey a lot of information to cybersecurity professionals.

8. Imaginative Displays

Figure 17 visualizes a distributed denial of service attack. It can be found at http://honeynet.org.au/?q=node/67. While a static image gives some flavor of the visualization, for best results we recommend that you view the video. A variant that can be found at https://code.google.com/p/logstalgia/ adapts the game of Pong to defend against a distributed denial of service attack. This is shown in Figure 18.

The visualizations in Figures 17 and 18 were produced using the Google Project tool called Logstalgia. More information about this tool is available at https://code.google.com/p/logstalgia/. Another interesting visualization project is called Gouse. It uses advanced
techniques for software version control visualization. More information about this project can be found at https://code.google.com/p/gource/.

9. Three Dimensional Displays

Given the very dynamic nature of cybersecurity events, especially when dealing with cyber attacks, it seems clear that three dimensional visualizations might be very helpful. Daedalus, a tool that produces such visualizations, was produced by Japan’s National Institute of Information and Communications Technology (NICT). More information about this project can be found at http://www.nict.go.jp/press/2012/06/06-1.html and also in [12]. Figure 19 shows the system in action. Using all three dimensions, the system clearly shows used and unused IP addresses. It is rightly assumed that activity involving unused IP addresses is suspicious and should be examined in more detail. Figure 20 shows a closeup of this system.

Another interesting use of three dimensional graphics can be found in [13]. Figure 21 from that paper shows how to analyze spam campaigns launched by various botnets.
10. Symposium on Visualization for Cyber Security

A wonderful source of cutting edge cybersecurity related visualizations can be found in the various Symposia on Visualization for Cyber Security. The website for this organization can be found at http://www.vizsec.org/. Their 2013 meeting, Visualization for Cyber Security (VizSec 2013) will be held on October 14, 2013 in Atlanta GA, USA in conjunction with IEEE VIS.

11. Conclusions

There are many promising tools that can produce very fine visualizations that can be of great help in communicating cybersecurity concepts to a wide range of audiences. We urge people to use the existing tools more widely and to add new visualizations for others to use.
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