COMMUNITY RESILIENCE OF NATURAL RESOURCE DEPENDENT COMMUNITIES AND THE URBAN, RURAL DIVIDE

Joseph Reed



Community Resilience

- Resilience is the ability of a place to responds to and rebound from a shock.
- National examples:
 - COVID-19
 - The Great Recession of 2008
- Local examples:
 - Major Employers Closing
 - Natural Disasters
 - New Policies

Unemployment Rate Remains Historically High

Seasonally adjusted unemployment rate in the United States since 1948



Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics

https://www.statista.com/

Rural Natural Resource Dependent Communities (NRDC) and Resilience

- Highly vulnerable to shocks.
- Lack the human capital of urban places.
- Reliant on one industry.
- This industry has much more uncertainty.
 - Examples:
 - Drought
 - Overfishing
 - New Regulations
- If this one industry fails or falls, this can cause a shock.
- Rural places have a stronger sense of community and are more self reliant, can outweigh negatives related to human capital.





Community Resilience

- Resilience is the ability of a place to responds to and rebound from a shock.
- National examples:
 - COVID-19
 - The Great Recession of 2008
- Local examples:
 - Major Employers Closing
 - Natural Disasters
 - New Policies

'Mass Exodus,' Possible Closure Feared As Jay Paper Mill Reduces Workforce



Measuring Resilience



Graph is from Hanz and Goetz 2015

Two ways to measure resilience:

- Length of recessionary period.
 - Historical data.
 - Doesn't predict future resilience, things can change.
 - Only can measure economic resilience.
- Metrics we believe to be higher correlated with resilience, to give a resilience score.
 - Examples:
 - Education attainment.
 - Median household income.
 - Owner-occupied housing.
 - Current level of resilience.
 - Can measure diverse aspects of resilience.
 - How well the metrics measure resilience unknown.

Research Gap

- Historically, US resilience research has been done at a county level.
 - Mainly due to data availability and reliability.



Research Gap

- Historically, US resilience research has been done at a county level.
 - Mainly due to data availability and reliability.
- County level resilience metrics measures the average level of resilience of communities.



Goal and Objectives

• **Goal:** Measure resilience at a community level across the United States and test how it varies across resource dependency and urban/rural definitions.

• Objectives:

 Develop a quantitative community level resilience index to measure a diverse definition of resilience across the United States.
 Analyze the differences in resilience in natural resource dependent communities and across the urban/rural divide.



Indicators were chosen by:

- Commonality among sources.
- Uniqueness of indicator.
- Data availability and consistency.



Robustness checks include:

- Correlation Matrix's
- Cronbach Alpha
- Expert Review



- Does natural resource dependency impact a community's level of resilience?
- Do urban and rural places have significantly different levels of resilience?
- What is the larger driver or community resilience?



- Natural resource dependency threshold:
 - 8% of employment in Agriculture; forestry; fishing and hunting; and mining (Economic Research Service).
 - 10% employment (Stedman et al. 2005)
 - 20% employment (Bender et al. 1985)
- Urban/rural threshold:
 - County subdivisions with more/less than 2500 people (U.S Census Bureau).
 - 20,000 people (USDA Community Facilities)
 - 50,000 people (Non-metro).





Results – Final Indicator List

Human well-being/cultural/social indicators Working age population (ages 20-65) Health insurance coverage Population with high school degrees People who speak English "less than well" Population change 2010-2018 Mean advertised max broadband download speed

Economic/financial indicators Unemployment rate Medium household income Mean commuting time Service occupations Arts; entertainment; and recreation; accommodation & food services Households with social security Households with public assistance income Gini coefficient Gender income inequality Infrastructure indicators Owner-occupied housing Households with no vehicle available Median housing value Housing occupancy rate Median monthly gross rent

Environmental/natural indicators

Land in wetland Percent impervious land

Public open space (parks, community forest, etc.) Recognized biodiversity value

Results – Score Calculation



Results – Final Indicator List

Human well-being/cultural/social indicators Infrastructure indicators Working age population (ages 20-65) Repeat for Owner-occupied housing Health insurance coverage Households with no vehicle available each category, Population with high school degrees Median housing value then average People who speak English "less than well" Housing occupancy rate across the four Population change 2010-2018 Median monthly gross rent categories. Mean advertised max broadband download speed Economic/financial indicators Unemployment rate Medium household income Environmental/natural indicators Mean commuting time Land in wetland Service occupations Resilience Percent impervious land Arts; entertainment; and recreation; accommodation & scores for each open space (parks, community forest, etc.) Households with social security Recognized biodiversity value county Households with public assistance income subdivision. Gini coefficient Gender income inequality

Spatially....



 Data loss mainly from census data suppression and partially from ArcGIS errors.



Results – Statistical Tests



• Relationships stay consistent across threshold analysis.

- All samples statistically different. Urban/rural sample much more so.
- Two-way ANOVA suggests that urban/rural is the larger determinant of resilience.



Results – Statistical Tests

- Rural places have <u>significantly</u> larger social scores.
- Urban places have <u>significantly</u> larger infrastructure and environmental scores.
- No statistical differences in economic scores.





Results – Statistical Tests

- Natural resource dependent places have <u>significantly</u> larger economic scores.
 - When these metrics were removed natural resource dependent communities have <u>significantly</u> lower economic resilience.



Results – Statistical Tests

- Netural resource dependent
 places
 conomic scores.
- Communities not considered natural resource dependent have <u>significantly</u> larger social and infrastructure scores.
- No statistical differences in environmental scores.



Limitations

- Lost significantly more rural observations due to census data suppression of communities with small populations.
- Environmental indicators limited at CSD level.
- Resilience is an <u>abstract</u> concept, hard to measure.

Implications

- Hoping this research opens the door for more community level resilience research.
- It allows for municipalities to access their vulnerabilities to a variety of shocks to determine their weakness for future resource allocation.
- Determine the extent at which policy makers should allocate resources across the urban/rural threshold.



Image taken from Maine Small Business Development Center (picture is of Millinocket, Me)

Acknowledgements



Northern Border Regional Commission





Center for Sustainability Solutions



- I'd like to thanks my advisory committee for assistance:
 - Adam Daigneault, Assistant Professor of Forest, Conservation, and Recreation Policy
 - Kathleen Bell, Director (Interim) and Professor
 - Andrew Crawley, Assistant Professor
- I would like to thank the funders of this project.
- I would also like to thanks Samuel Roy, Xinyuan Wei, and Jianheng Zhao for their assistance with data collection.
- If you have any question, I will take them now, and if you would like to contact me to learn more about this project email me at: joseph.reed@maine.edu.

Thank you!

Literature Citations:

Picture Citations:

- Cutter, S. L., Ash, K. D., & Emrich, C. T. (2014). The geographies of community disaster resilience. Global Environmental Change, 29, 65–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.08.005
- Cutter, S. L., Ash, K. D., & Emrich, C. T. (2016). Urban– Rural Differences in Disaster Resilience. Annals of the American Association of Geographers, 106(6), 1236– 1252.

https://doi.org/10.1080/24694452.2016.1194740

 Han, Y., & Goetz, S. J. (2015). The Economic Resilience
 of U.S. Counties during the Great Recession. The Review of Regional Studies, 20.

- <u>https://unsplash.com/photos/Mk2ls9UBO2E</u>
- <u>https://unsplash.com/photos/8wuOLdN77A4</u>
- <u>https://unsplash.com/photos/gNoqNvYAsBg</u>
- <u>https://www.mainesbdc.org/katahdin-</u> <u>communications/</u>
- <u>https://www.mainepublic.org/post/mass-exodus-possible-closure-feared-jay-paper-mill-reduces-workforce</u>
- <u>https://www.opb.org/article/2020/08/11/trump-administration-wants-to-reduce-critical-habitat-for-northern-spotted-owls/</u>
- <u>http://extension.msstate.edu/news/feature-</u> <u>story/2005/katrina-leaves-damaged-crops-fuel-</u> <u>frustrations</u>
- <u>https://azjerome.com/</u>