Three themes emerged:

1. Communities identify diverse aspects of place with which ORE should fit.
   • Symbolic beliefs include land or sea as industrial vs natural and undeveloped vs active workspaces.
   • Important local industries include fisheries and tourism.
   • Identity- and community-forming elements of place include heritage livelihoods, cultural practices, and recreation.

2. Procedural and distributional justice help inform place-technology fit.
   • Procedural justice: fair distribution of decision-making power.
     Good practice includes early and frequent communication, community liaisons, meaningful influence from local knowledge.
   • Distributional justice: balancing losses and benefits to impacted communities. Consideration of communities outside of spatially-defined locations may improve accounting of impacts and losses.

3. Future benefits of ORE should align with place.
   • Understanding benefits of ORE will vary with positionality.
   • Multi-purpose infrastructure and spaces can support local industries and values.
   • Community benefits packages and compensation should directly address current and future community needs.

Understanding “place-technology fit” can illuminate complexities of place and process and align future benefits with community needs.