

## References

- Bureau of Ocean Energy Management. (2016). A citizen's guide to the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management's renewable energy authorization process. U.S. Department of the Interior. <https://www.boem.gov/KW-CG-Broch/>
- Bureau of Ocean Energy Management. (2021). Offshore wind leasing path forward 2021-2025 [Infographic]. U.S. Department of the Interior. <https://www.boem.gov/renewable-energy/state-activities/osw-proposed-leasing-schedule>
- Bureau of Ocean Energy Management. (2022a). Gulf of Maine request for interest (RFI) development framework. U.S. Department of the Interior. <https://www.boem.gov/renewable-energy/state-activities/gulf-maine-rfi-development-framework>
- Bureau of Ocean Energy Management. (2022b). The renewable energy process: Leasing to operations [Infographic]. U.S. Department of the Interior. <https://www.boem.gov/renewable-energy/state-activities/boem-poster-renewable-commercial-leasing-process>
- Bureau of Ocean Energy Management. (2023). Notice of intent to prepare an environmental assessment for a wind energy research lease on the Atlantic outer continental shelf offshore Maine (88 FR 28611). U.S. Department of the Interior. <https://www.federalregister.gov/d/2023-09478>
- Bureau of Ocean Energy Management & National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. (2023). Gulf of Maine data layers under consideration for draft wind energy area suitability modeling. U.S. Department of the Interior. <https://www.boem.gov/sites/default/files/documents/renewable-energy/state->

activities/Gulf%20of%20Maine%20Data%20Layers%20under%  
20Consideration%20for%20Draft%20Wind%20Energy%20Area%20Suitability%20Mod  
eling.pdf

- Chaji, M., & Werner, S. (2023). Economic Impacts of Offshore Wind Farms on Fishing Industries: Perspectives, Methods, and Knowledge Gaps. *Marine and Coastal Fisheries*, 15(3). <https://doi.org/10.1002/mcf2.10237>
- Chen, J. L., Liu, H. H., Chuang, C. T., & Lu, H. J. (2015). The factors affecting stakeholders' acceptance of offshore wind farms along the western coast of Taiwan: Evidence from stakeholders' perceptions. *Ocean and Coastal Management*, 109, 40–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2015.02.012>
- Christie, N., Smyth, K., Barnes, R., & Elliott, M. (2014). Co-location of activities and designations: A means of solving or creating problems in marine spatial planning? *Marine Policy*, 43, 254–261. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2013.06.002>
- Dahlet, L. I., Himes-Cornell, A., & Metzner, R. (2021). Fisheries conflicts as drivers of social transformation. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 53, 9–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2021.03.011>
- de la Cadena, M., & Blaser, M. (Eds.). (2018). *A World of Many Worlds*. Duke University Press.
- Devine-Wright, P., & Wiersma, B. (2020). Understanding community acceptance of a potential offshore wind energy project in different locations: An island-based analysis of 'place-technology fit.' *Energy Policy*, 137, 111086. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2019.111086>
- Firestone, J., Hirt, C., Bidwell, D., Gardner, M., & Dwyer, J. (2020). Faring well in offshore wind power siting? Trust, engagement, and process fairness in the United States. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 62, 101393.

- Gray, M., Stromberg, P.-L., & Rodmell, D. (2016). Changes to fishing practices around the UK as a result of the development of offshore windfarms-Phase 1 (Revised). The Crown Estate. <https://www.thecrownestate.co.uk/media/2600/final-published-ow-fishing-revised-aug-2016-clean.pdf>
- Haggett, C., ten Brink, T., Russell, A., Roach, M., Firestone, J., Dalton, T., & Mccay, B. J. (2020). Offshore wind projects and fisheries: Conflict and engagement in the United Kingdom and the United States. *Oceanography*, 33(4), 38–47.  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/26965748>
- Hall, D. M., & Lazarus, E. D. (2015). Deep waters: Lessons from community meetings about offshore wind resource development in the U.S. *Marine Policy*, 57, 9–17.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MARPOL.2015.03.004>
- Hogan, F., Hooker, B., Jensen, B., Johnston, L., Lipsky, A., Methratta, E., Silva, A., & Hawkins, A. (2023). Fisheries and Offshore Wind Interactions: Synthesis of Science (NMFS-NE-291). National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.
- Kezar, A., & Eckel, P. (2002). Examining the Institutional Transformation Process Importance of Sensemaking. *Research in Higher Education*, 43(3), 295–328.
- Krog, A. (2018). In the Name of Human Rights: I Say [How] You [Should] Speak [Before I Listen]. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Research* (5th ed., pp. 485–491). SAGE Publications.
- Lincoln, Y. S., Lynham, S. A., & Guba, E. G. (2018). Paradigmatic Controversies, Contradictions and Emerging Confluences, Revisited. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Research* (5th ed., pp. 108–150). SAGE Publications.

- Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldana, J. (2020). *Qualitative Data Analysis: A Methods Sourcebook* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Peet, R., & Watts, M. (Eds.). (2004). *Liberation Ecologies: Environment, Development, Social Movements* (Second edition). Routledge.
- Puig de la Bellacasa, M. (2017). The Disruptive Thought of Care. In *Matters of Care: Speculative Ethics in More than Human Worlds* (pp. 1–26). University of Minnesota Press.
- Reilly-Moman, J. (2022). *Distilling the key factors that drive social acceptance of ocean renewable energy*. Aspen Global Change Institute.
- Richardson, L., & St. Pierre, E. A. (2018). Writing: A Method of Inquiry. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Research* (5th ed., pp. 818–838). SAGE Publications.
- Rudolph, D., Haggett, C., & Aitken, M. (2014). *Community benefits from offshore renewables: Good practice review*. University of Edinburgh.  
[https://www.climatexchange.org.uk/media/1536/full\\_report\\_-\\_community\\_benefits\\_from\\_offshore\\_renewables\\_-\\_good\\_practice\\_review.pdf](https://www.climatexchange.org.uk/media/1536/full_report_-_community_benefits_from_offshore_renewables_-_good_practice_review.pdf)
- Sayce, K., Shuman, C., Connor, D., Reisewitz, A., Pope, E., Miller-Henson, M., Poncelet, E., Monié, D., & Owens, B. (2013). Beyond traditional stakeholder engagement: Public participation roles in California's statewide marine protected area planning process. *Ocean and Coastal Management*, 74, 57–66.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2012.06.012>
- Slater, A.-M., Irvine, K. N., Hutton, J., Byg, A. A., Davies, I. M., Gubbins, M., Kafas, A., Kenter, J., MacDonald, A., Murray, H., Tweddle, J. F., Wright, K., & Scott, B. E. (2020).

- Integrating stakeholder knowledge through modular cooperative participatory processes for marine spatial planning outcomes (CORPORATES). *Ecosystem Services*, 44, 101126.
- Steins, N. A., Veraart, J. A., Klostermann, J. E. M., & Poelman, M. (2021). Combining offshore wind farms, nature conservation and seafood: Lessons from a Dutch community of practice. *Marine Policy*, 126. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2020.104371>
- Stelzenmüller, V., Letschert, J., Gimpel, A., Kraan, C., Probst, W. N., Degraer, S., & Döring, R. (2022). From plate to plug: The impact of offshore renewables on European fisheries and the role of marine spatial planning. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 158, 112108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RSER.2022.112108>
- Thirteen offshore wind turbines [photograph]. (2016). Center for Environmental and Resource Economic Policy, NC State University. <https://cenrep.ncsu.edu/2016/04/03/offshore-wind-tourism/>
- Tschakert, P., Barnett, J., Ellis, N., Lawrence, C., Tuana, N., New, M., Elrick-Barr, C., Pandit, R., & Pannell, D. (2017). Climate change and loss, as if people mattered: values, places, and experiences. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, 8(5), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.476>
- Tyler, G., Bidwell, D., Smythe, T., & Trandafir, S. (2022). Preferences for community benefits for offshore wind development projects: A case study of the Outer Banks of North Carolina, U.S. *Journal of Environmental Policy and Planning*, 24(1), 39–55. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1523908X.2021.1940896>
- van Hoof, L., Steins, N. A., Smith, S., & Kraan, M. (2020). Change as a permanent condition: A history of transition processes in Dutch North Sea fisheries. *Marine Policy*, 122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2020.104245>

Viatori, M., & Bombiella, H. (2019). *Coastal Lives: Nature, Capital, and the Struggle for Artisanal Fisheries in Peru*. University of Arizona Press.

Virtanen, E. A., Lappalainen, J., Nurmi, M., Viitasalo, M., Tikanmäki, M., Heinonen, J., Atlaskin, E., Kallasvuo, M., Tikkanen, H., & Moilanen, A. (2022). Balancing profitability of energy production, societal impacts and biodiversity in offshore wind farm design. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 158.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2022.112087>

Wilber, D. H., Brown, L., Griffin, M., Decelles, G. R., & Carey, D. A. (2022). Demersal fish and invertebrate catches relative to construction and operation of North America's first offshore wind farm. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 79(4), 1274–1288.  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsac051>